# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Regioselective and Stepwise [8 + 2] Cycloaddition Reaction between Alkynyl−Fischer Carbene Complexes and Tropothione

Alexandra R. Rivero, Israel Fernández,\* and Miguel A. Sierra\*

Departamento de Química Organica I, Facultad [d](#page-3-0)e Ciencias Químicas, Univ[ers](#page-3-0)idad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain ́

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [The formal](#page-3-0)  $[8 + 2]$  cycloaddition reaction between alkynyl Fischer carbene complexes and tropothione leads to the regioselective formation of novel 3aH-cyclohepta-  $\lfloor b \rfloor$ thiophene carbene complexes. Computational DFT calculations indicate that the process proceeds stepwise via antiaromatic zwitterionic intermediates



Fischer carbene complexes having an alkenyl or alkynyl group attached to the carbene carbon atom are useful reagents to undergo highly regioselective cycloaddition processes under mild reaction conditions.1−<sup>7</sup> This is mainly due to the strong activating effect exerted by the metal fragment which behaves in the cycloaddition reaction [s](#page-3-0)i[m](#page-3-0)ilarly to a Lewis acid directly attached to the carbonyl group of the corresponding isolobal organic esters.<sup>8-12</sup> Based on these and related transformations, the term "super-esters" has been coined for these organometallic co[mpo](#page-3-0)unds. Although the chemical literature contains an impressive number of work focused on  $\left[4+2\right]^{8-12}$  and  $\left[3+2\right]^{13-16}$  cycloaddition reactions involving  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated carbene complexes, high-order cycloaddition processes h[av](#page-3-0)e attracted [much](#page-3-0) less attention. In fact, only a single example of a  $[8 + 2]$  cycloaddition between alkynyl carbene complexes and 8-azaheptafulvenes has been reported so far by Barluenga and co-workers.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, nothing is known about the reaction mechanism of this transformation. Whereas a concerted reaction pat[hw](#page-3-0)ay through aromatic transition states has been computationally suggested by us for  $[4 + 2]^{18}$  and  $[3 + 2]^{19,20}$  cycloaddition reactions involving Fischer carbenes, a stepwise process is followed in the [8 + 2] reaction [b](#page-3-0)etween 8-az[ahep](#page-3-0)tafulvenes and organic ketenes (Scheme 1). $^{21}$  The latter transformation involves the formation of a zwitterionic intermediate I which has been experimentally trapp[ed](#page-4-0) and fully characterized. Therefore, a

Scheme 1. Stepwise  $[8 + 2]$  Cycloaddition Reaction between 8-Azaheptafulvenes and Ketenes



stepwise pathway is very likely to occur as well in the  $[8 + 2]$ reaction reported by Barluenga and co-workers.<sup>17</sup>

Within the context of our ongoing work on the reaction mechanisms and synthetic applications of [c](#page-3-0)ycloaddition reactions involving organic and organometallic reagents, $22-27$ we report herein the high-order  $[8 + 2]$  cycloaddition reaction<sup>28,29</sup> between alkynyl carbene complexes and t[ropo](#page-4-0)thione, which occurs with total regioselectivity and through a stepwis[e rea](#page-4-0)ction mechanism.

A tropothione solution, readily prepared from tropone and  $P_2S_5$ <sup>29</sup> was added to a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of the corresponding alkynyl carbene complex 1a−f (1:1 equimolecular amounts), and [the](#page-4-0) mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5−10 min (Scheme 2a). Removal of the solvent and purification of the residue by column chromatography allowed the isolation of the correspo[ndi](#page-1-0)ng 3aH-cyclohepta<sup>[b]</sup>thiophene carbene complexes  $3a-f<sub>0</sub>$ <sup>3</sup>  $<sup>0</sup>$  which maintain the carbene functionality susceptible to</sup> further modifications, in moderate to excellent yields. The proc[ess](#page-4-0) is compatible with different substitutions at the triple bond as well as with tungsten derived carbenes (no significant differences between the reaction yields, 3a vs 3b, in the reactions of chromium $(0)$ − and tungsten $(0)$ −carbene complexes and tropothione 2 were observed). The presence of additional metal centers (complex 1c) is also compatible with this cycloaddition reaction. Furthermore, by using a bis-carbene complex (1h), bis-cycloadduct 3h having a pentacyclic bimetallic array was obtained with a 67% reaction yield. Compound 3h was formed as 1:1 racemic mixture of the two possible RR/SS and meso-RS diastereomers.

The spectroscopical data (1D- and 2D-NMR experiments) suggest that the cycloaddition reaction occurs with total regioselectivity leading exclusively to the cycloadduct having the sulfur atom of the tropothione attached to the  $\beta$ -carbon atom of the triple bond of the initial alkynyl carbene complex.

Received: June 14, 2012 Published: July 10, 2012

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



This result is in agreement with the high regioselectivity observed for related  $[3 + 2]$  cycloaddition processes<sup>19,20</sup> and for the  $[8 + 2]$  reaction described by Barluenga's group.<sup>17</sup> The origin of this complete regioselectivity is found i[n the](#page-3-0) much higher electrophilicity of the  $\beta$ -carbon atom compared t[o th](#page-3-0)e  $\alpha$ carbon in the initial alkynyl carbene complex 1.<sup>20</sup> The role of the metal in the cycloaddition reaction is decisive, since no reaction was observed when ethyl 3-phenylpropi[ola](#page-3-0)te (1g) (the organic counterpart of complexes 1a,b) was mixed with 1 equiv of tropothione under the same reaction conditions which led to the complete transformation of complexes 1. The use of higher temperatures (boiling  $CH_2Cl_2$ ) or prolonged reaction times was of no avail. This finding clearly illustrates the activating "super-ester" effect of the metal moiety described above.

Single crystals of cycloadduct 3c suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown in hexanes/ethyl acetate solution at −20 °C. As seen in Figure 1, the sulfur atom of the tropothione is attached to the terminal carbon atom of the triple bond (C17),



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of compound 3c.

thus confirming the regioselectivity of the process suggested by the spectroscopical study.

In order to gain a deeper insight into the reaction mechanism of the formal  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & + & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  cycloaddition reaction between carbene complexes 1 and tropothione, a DFT-computational study has been carried out.<sup>31</sup> The corresponding reaction profiles (PCM-M06/def2-SVP//B3LYP/def2-SVP level) of alkynylmethoxycarbene comple[x](#page-4-0) 1M and thione 2 are depicted in Figure 2, which gathers the computed free energies (at 298 K) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ solution.

As initially envisaged, two different reaction pathways, i.[e.,](#page-2-0) concerted versus stepwise, are possible. From the data in Figure 2, it becomes obvious that a concerted pathway is not competitive in view of the high activation barrier of the process  $(\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298} = 58.6 \text{ kcal/mol})$  $(\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298} = 58.6 \text{ kcal/mol})$  via the saddle point TS1. This computed value makes the transformation unfeasible under the reaction conditions used in the experiment (i.e., room temperature). Instead, the stepwise pathway, which starts with the nucleophilic addition of the sulfur atom of the thione to the *β*-carbon atom of the carbene complex 1M, is much more likely to occur in view of the much lower activation barrier of this process ( $\Delta G_{298}^{\ddagger}$  = 16.6 kcal/mol, via TS2). This addition leads to the formation of zwitterionic intermediate INT1 which easily evolves to the final cycloadduct 3M via TS3 (computed barrier energy of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298} = 7.2$  kcal/mol), a saddle point associated with the corresponding carbon−carbon bond formation/ring-closure reaction. Therefore, the computed low activation barriers and the exergonicity of the overall cycloaddition ( $\Delta G_R = -18.6$  kcal/mol), which are compatible with a reaction at room temperature, make the stepwise pathway the preferred reaction profile for this  $[8 + 2]$  transformation.<sup>3</sup>

Very likely, the stepwise nature of the cycloaddition finds its origin in the high stabilization of the zwitterionic interm[edi](#page-4-0)ate INT1. Thus, the negative charge is highly delocalized in the electron-withdrawing pentacarbonylmetal moiety (computed NBO-charge on chromium atom of −2.45e). Similarly, the positive charge is mainly located at the sulfur atom (+0.40e) but also delocalized within the seven-membered ring.<sup>33</sup> As a result, it can be proposed that the resonance form INT1-B (Figure 3a), which resembles the tropylium cation[, h](#page-4-0)as a significant contribution in the description of the sulfursubstitut[ed](#page-2-0) zwitterion INT1. Consequently, some degree of aromaticity should be expected in this species. In fact, the seven-membered ring of **INT1** exhibits high planarity (C1(S)– C2−C3−C4 dihedral angle of 0.6°) and bond-length equalization (C−C bond distances in the range of 1.377−1.429 Å, intermediate between single and double bonds) thus satisfying the so-called geometric criterion for aromaticity. In contrast, the data computed for the analogous nitrogen-substituted zwitterionic intermediate I, formed in the analogous stepwise [8 + 2] cycloaddition reaction between 8-azafulvenes and ketenes (Scheme 1), $^{21}$  showed that this compound is not planar and exhibits a higher bond length alternation. Moreover, the computed po[sit](#page-0-0)i[ve](#page-4-0) nuclear independent chemical shifts  $(NICS)^{34}$  values confirmed the antiaromatic nature of the latter values confirmed the antiaromatic nature of the latter  $species.<sup>21</sup>$ 

To c[hec](#page-4-0)k the aromaticity of INT1, the corresponding NICS values [we](#page-4-0)re also computed. Both the NICS(0) computed at the [3,+1] ring critical point of the electron density<sup>35−40</sup> (NICS(0) = +5.8 ppm) and the corresponding out-of-plane component computed 1 Å above this point  $(NICS(1)_{zz} = +2.8$  $(NICS(1)_{zz} = +2.8$  $(NICS(1)_{zz} = +2.8$  ppm) indicate that the sulfur-substituted zwitterion INT1 is not aromatic either. $41$  This result has been also confirmed by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2. Computed reaction profile (PCM-M06/def2-SVP//B3LYP/def2-SVP level) for the [8 + 2] cycloaddition reaction between carbene complex 1M and tropothione 2. Relative free energies  $(\Delta G_{298})$  are given in kcal/mol.



Figure 3. (a) Resonance forms of zwitterion INT1. (b) AICD plot of INT1 (isosurface value of 0.035).

applying the anisotropy of the induced current density (AICD) method, developed by Herges and co-workers,<sup>42,43</sup> on INT1 to visualize the delocalization of electrons within the ring. As readily seen in Figure 3b, a clear par[atrop](#page-4-0)ic current (anticlockwise vectors) is observed, thus confirming the

antiaromatic nature of this species despite the planarity and bond equalization of the ring.44<sup>−</sup><sup>48</sup> Therefore, it can be concluded that the contribution of the resonance form INT1-B cannot be that significant. More[over,](#page-4-0) this finding also shows that the exocyclic heteroatom plays a major role in the aromatic nature of these cationic heptafulvenes<sup>49</sup> and, consequently, in the stability of the intermediate zwitterions.

In summary, a formal  $[8 + 2]$  cycloa[dd](#page-4-0)ition reaction between alkynyl Fischer carbene complexes and tropothione has been described. The process leads to the regioselective formation of  $3aH$ -cyclohepta $[b]$ thiophene carbene complexes, which maintain the pentacarbonyl−metal carbene functionality. By means of computational-DFT methods, it was found that this transformation proceeds stepwise through an antiaromatic zwitterionic intermediate.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere. All solvents used in this work were purified immediately before use. Flame-dried glassware was used for moisture-sensitive reactions. Identification of products was made by thin-layer chromatography (Kiesegel 60F-254). UV light  $(\lambda = 254 \text{ nm})$  and potassium permanganate (aqueous solution) were used to develop the plates. Unless otherwise noted, NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a 300 MHz (300 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 75 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to TMS  $(^1\mathrm{H},$ 0.0 ppm) or  $CDCl_3$  (<sup>13</sup>C, 77.0 ppm). <sup>1</sup>H NMR splitting pattern abbreviations are as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet. IR spectra were taken on a MIR (8000−400 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) spectrometer as solid films by slow evaporation of the solvent using the ATR (atenuated total reflectance) technique. MS spectra (HRMS)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>were acquired on a Fourier transform ion cyclone resonance mass spectrometer (4.7 T). Alkynyl Fischer carbene complexes  $1a-g<sup>50</sup>$  and tropothione  $2^{28,30}$  were prepared following the described procedures.

General Procedure for Cycloaddition Reactions. To a s[olu](#page-4-0)tion of the corres[pondi](#page-4-0)ng alkynyl carbene  $1a-g$  (0.5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) at room temperature was added a solution of tropothione 2 (0.5 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5−10 min. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the crude mixture purified by flash column chromatography to give pure carbene complexes 3a−g. Compound 3h was prepared from biscarbene 1h following the same procedure for monocarbenes but using 2 equiv of the tropothione 2.

3a: red oil (182 mg, 77%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.38– 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.26−7.20 (m, 2H), 6.63 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd,  $J = 11.0$ , 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd,  $J = 6.2$ , 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.16  $(dd, J = 9.3, 5.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.94 \text{ (dd, } J = 9.3, 4.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.82-4.71 \text{ (m, }$ 2H), 4.60–4.58 (m, 1H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 340.9, 222.5, 214.9, 147.5, 134.9, 134.3, 133.9, 130.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.5, 125.8, 116.1, 115.0, 77.1, 59.7, 12.9; IR (ATR)  $\nu$  2058, 1938 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (FTMS)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{16}CrO_6S$  [M + H] = 473.0151, found 473.0156.

3b: dark red oil (208 mg, 69%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.27−7.34 (m, 5H), 6.63 (dd, J = 10.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (dd, J = 10.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd, J = 6.1, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 9.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (dd, J = 9.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.80−4.69 (m, 1H), 4.59−4.49 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 310.5, 201.9, 196.4, 149.9, 137.7, 134.2, 130.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 125.8, 116.1, 115.4, 79.0, 58.5, 13.9; IR (ATR) ν 2066, 1917 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>16</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>S [M – H] = 603.0104, found 603.0105.

3c: dark red oil (267 mg, 92%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.61 (dd, J = 11.1, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (dd, J = 11.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (dd, J = 9.3, 6.1, Hz, 1H), 4.79–4.74 (m, 2H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.37 – 4.20 (m, 9H), 1.46 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  343.0, 223.0, 215.5, 134.6, 131.8, 130.3, 128.2, 125.6, 115.1, 114.7, 76.2, 70.4, 70.0, 69.7, 67.2, 60.7, 14.8; IR (ATR)  $\nu$  2057, 1931 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{27}H_{20}CrFeO_6S$  [M – H] = 578.9652, found 578.9652.

3d: red solid (75 mg, 32%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.59 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (dd, J  $= 6.2, 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.11 (dd, J = 9.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.44–5.33 (m, 1H), 5.11−5.01 (m, 1H), 4.77–4.69 (m, 2H), 1.73 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.22  $(s, 9H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  340.4, 223.4, 216.1, 160.0, 139.4, 136.6, 130.4, 128.3, 125.2, 114.8, 113.4, 77.4, 61.0, 15.3, 0.1; IR (ATR)  $\nu$  2058, 1931 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{20}CrO_6SSi$  [M + H] = 469.0239, found 469.0239.

3e: dark orange oil (113 mg, 50%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.58 (dd, J = 11.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (dd, J = 10.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H),  $5.15 - 5.04$  $(m, 2H)$ , 4.81 (dd, J = 9.3, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 1H), 2.43–2.15 (m, 2H), 1.72 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.50 − 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.38 − 1.27 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  342.4, 223.3, 213.9, 147.4, 137.0, 134.1, 130.5, 128.6, 125.3, 116.0, 115.3, 77.2, 59.4, 31.6, 29.6, 22.5, 15.5, 13.9; IR (ATR) ν 2058, 1931 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>CrO<sub>6</sub>S [M − H] = 451.0313, found 451.0313.

3f: red oil (236 mg, 95%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.56  $(d, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.49  $(t, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.39  $(s, 1\text{H})$ , 7.35  $(d, J = 1.7 \text{ Hz})$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J = 11.1, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 11.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, J = 6.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, J = 8.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 5.01−4.97 (m, 1H), 4.59 (s, 1H), 3.78 (s, 1H), 1.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 343.3, 225.3, 217.4, 135.5, 134.8, 134.2, 134.0, 133.1, 132.0, 131.0, 130.7, 130.3, 127.4, 124.8, 117.9, 116.3, 83.9, 81.4, 79.8, 61.5, 15.6; IR (ATR)  $\nu$  2059, 1934 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>16</sub>CrO<sub>6</sub>S  $[M + H] = 497.0156$ , found 497.0156.

3h: dark orange oil  $(1:1$  mixture of isomers, 290 mg, 67%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.13 (m, 4H), 6.65 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 6.54 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 6.25−6.24 (m, 2H), 6.20− 6.16 (m, 2H), 4.97−4.77 (m, 6H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 1.34 (t, J = 6.94 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 6.94 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  342.9, 342.5, 223.6, 216.0, 216.0, 148.6, 148.4, 134.8, 134.4, 133.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.3, 130.7,129.4, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, 128.4, 126.0, 125.9, 116.4, 116.3, 115.1, 115.0, 77.7, 77.5, 77.4, 66.1, 60.0, 15.1, 145.0; IR  $(ATR)$   $\nu$  2095, 1931 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{40}H_{26}Cr_2O_{12}S_2$  [M + H] = 866.9760, found 866.9762.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

NMR spectra of isolated compounds, crystallographic data for compound 3c, computational details, Cartesian coordinates (in Å), and free energies of all the stationary points discussed in the text. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### ■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: (I.F.) israel@quim.ucm.es, (M.A.S.) sierraor@quim. ucm.es.

#### Notes

[The au](mailto:sierraor@quim.ucm.es)thors de[clare](mailto:israel@quim.ucm.es) [no](mailto:israel@quim.ucm.es) [competing](mailto:israel@quim.ucm.es) financial in[terest.](mailto:sierraor@quim.ucm.es)

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the Spanish MICINN (CTQ2010-20714-C02-01/BQU and Consolider-Ingenio 2010, CSD2007-00006) and CAM (S2009/PPQ-1634). I.F. is a Ramón y Cajal fellow. A.R.R. acknowledges the MEC for a FPU grant.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Sierra, M. A.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; Martínez-Ávarez, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 736.

(2) Sierra, M. A.; Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4671.

- (3) Waters, M. L.; Wulff, W. D. Org. React. 2008, 70, 121.
- (4) Dötz, K. H.; Stendel, J. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3227.

(5) Herndon, J. W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 103.

(6) Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; García-García, P.; Aguilar, E. Chem. Commun. 2010, 7670.

(7) Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P.; Sierra, M. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 479.

(8) Wulff, W. D.; Bauta, W. E.; Kaesler, R. W.; Lankford, P. J.; Miller, R. A.; Murray, C. K.; Yang, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3642.

(9) Wulff, W. D.; Bauta, W. E.; Kaesler, R. W.; Lankford, P. J.; Miller, R. A.; Murray, C. K.; Yang, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3642.

(10) Anderson, B. A.; Wulff, W. D.; Powers, T. S.; Tribbitt, S.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10784.

(11) Barluenga, J.; Aznar, F.; Barluenga, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1973.

(12) Barluenga, J.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Aguilar, E. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3659.

(13) Chan, K. S.; Wulff, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5229.

 $(14)$  Barluenga, J.; López, S.; Flórez, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 231.

(15) Barluenga, J.; Vicente, R.; López, L. A.; Tomás, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7050.

(16) Baeza, B.; Casarrubios, L.; Ramírez-López, P.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; Sierra, M. A. Organometallics 2009, 28, 956.

(17) Barluenga, J.; García-Rodríguez, J.; Suárez-Sobrino, A.; Tomás, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8800.

(18) Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A.; Cossío, F. P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2083.

(19) Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A.; Cossío, F. P. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6178.

(20) Andrada, D. M.; Granados, A. M.; Solá, M.; Fernández, I. Organometallics 2011, 30, 466.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(21) Lage, M. L.; Fernández, I.; Torres, M. R.; Sierra, M. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2892.

(22) Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P.; Sierra, M. A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3010.

(23) Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A.; Mancheño, M. J.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; Cossío, F. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13892.

(24) Sarotti, A. M.; Fernández, I.; Spanavello, R. A.; Sierra, M. A.; Suárez, A. G. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3389.

(25) Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P.; de Cózar, A.; Lledós, A.; Mascareñas, J. L. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12147.

(26) Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2310.

(27) Fernández, I.; Mascareñas, J. L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 699.

(28) For a review on  $[8 + 2]$  cycloaddition reactions, see: Nair, V.; Abhilash, K. G. Synlett 2008, 301.

(29) Nair, V.; Abhilash, K. G. Top. Heterocycl. Chem. 2008, 13, 173. (30) The cyclohepta $[b]$ thiophene ring is present in numerous biologically active natural products and drugs such as pizitofen.

(31) See the computational details in the Supporting Information. (32) We also computed the corresponding reaction profile for the reaction of tropotione and methyl 3-phenylpropiolate. As expected, only the concerted pathway was located [on](#page-3-0) [the](#page-3-0) [potential](#page-3-0) [energ](#page-3-0)y surface. The computed high activation barrier  $(\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298} = 28.6 \text{ kcal})$ mol) of this process justifies why the reaction of 1g and 2 does not occur at room temperature. In view of this barrier energy, the process might be feasible at higher temperatures. However, tropothione 2 is reported to be thermally unstable. See: Machiguchi, T. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1133.

(33) Computed NBO charges (with summed hydrogen atoms): C1 =  $-0.14e$ , C2 = +0.09e, C3 = +0.07e, C4 = 0.07e, C5 = +0.09e, C6 = +0.10e,  $C7 = +0.11e$ .

(34) Chen, Z.; Wannere, C. S.; Corminboeuf, C.; Puchta, R.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3842.

 $(35)$  The  $[3,+1]$  ring critical point of the electron density has been chosen to compute the NICS values due to its high sensitivity to diamagnetic effects and its unambiguous character. Cossío, F. P.; Morao, I.; Jiao, H.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6737. See also refs 36 to 40.

(36) Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A.; Cossío, F. P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1488.

(37) Fernández, I.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Cossío, F. P. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 13022.

(38) Fernández, I.; Cossío, F. P. Curr. Org. Chem. 2010, 14, 1578.

(39) Fernández, I.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 509.

(40) Crespo, O.; Eguillor, B.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Fernández, I.; García-Raboso, J.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; Martín-Ortiz, M.; Oliván, M.; Sierra, M. A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5328.

(41) The endergonicity of the formation of INT1 from 1M and 2  $(\Delta G_{298}$  = + 5.0 kcal/mol) can be ascribed in part to the antiaromaticity of this species.

(42) Herges, R.; Geuenich, D. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 3214.

(43) Geuenich, D.; Hess, K.; Köhler, F.; Herges, R. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3758.

(44) Note that bond length equalization in aromatic compounds comes from  $\sigma$ -interactions but not from the  $\pi$ -bonding. The latter would become stronger in bond-alternating geometries: Shaik, S. S.; Bar, R. Nouv. J. Chim. 1984, 8, 411. See also refs 45 to 48.

(45) Shaik, S. S.; Shurki, A.; Danovich, D.; Hiberty, P. C. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 1501.

(46) Fernández, I.; Frenking, G. Faraday Discuss. 2007, 135, 403.

(47) Fernández, I.; Frenking, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 5873.

(48) Pierrefixe, S. C. A. H.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 6321.

(49) This result is in line with a recent report on the effect of the exocyclic heteroatom in the aromaticity of methylenecyclopropene analogues. See: Wang, Y.; Fernández, I.; Duvall, M.; Wu, J. I-C.; Li, Q.; Frenking, G.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8252.